# 🌿🌍 Department of Ecology and Environment

Student Feedback and Course Evaluation Questionnaire
📅 **Survey Period- 05.03.2025-15.04.2025**
*Number of Student Participants:260*

📘**1.** What year are you studying in?

📚 Academic year	👥 Number of students Tələbə sayı
1 year	56
2 year	129
3 year	55
4 year	19

This data is used to determine student participation by year and to conduct statistical analysis. The responses per year help in evaluating the effectiveness of the course.

🏫 2. The faculty (school) you are studying in :

This question aims to enable a comparative analysis of satisfaction levels across faculties.

Number of Students by Faculty

Faculty	Number of Students
Psychology	3
Design	0
Political and Social Sciences	0
Advanced Technology and Innovative Engineering	242
Economics	0
Business and Management	12
Philology	0

Business and management 5%
Psychology 1%
technologies and innovative engineering 94%

📊 **3.** Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Through this questionnaire, students express their opinions on the instructor's teaching approach, clarity of explanation, preparedness, communication skills, and the relevance of the teaching materials.
"Responses are assessed using a three-point Likert scale with the options: 'Totally Agree', 'Agree', and 'Disagree'."

	Totally Agree	Agree	Disagree
Overall, you are satisfied with the delivery of the course.	56.8	28.2	15.1
Create a fair and reliable learning environment	56.4	28.6	15.1
Information regarding the examination procedures has been...	59.8	29.7	10.4
Utilize electronic systems and share relevant information...	61.6	27.5	10.9
Use of modern resources appropriate to the requirements of...	57.5	29	13.5
Provide informative feedback on assessments	51.7	32.4	15.8
Encourages students to engage in discussion and questioning	57.1	30.5	12.4
Presents course materials in an engaging and effective manner	55.6	26.6	17.8
Allocating time efficiently for the practical part	54.8	25.9	19.3
They come well-prepared to the lesson.	62.2	28.6	9.3
The course objectives and learning outcomes have been...	63.3	27.4	9.3
Making a syllabus available to students	63.2	28.7	8.1

🌟4 **Finally, please evaluate your satisfaction with the instructor's teaching quality using the scale below:**

Students' end-term feedback is required on the overall teaching quality of the instructor on a 10-point scale. This rating is intended to reflect the student's overall impression throughout the course.

Overall Quality Satisfaction
8.08

📌 Department of Finance and Accounting – Average Satisfaction Score

🎯 8.54 / 10

🔍 The performance of instructors is associated with a high level of student satisfaction.

📊 **Student Feedback Rating Scale (10-point scale)**

Score Range	Assessment Level	Explanation
9 – 10	Very high satisfaction	Students are fully satisfied with the instructor's performance.
7 – 8	High satisfaction	Students are generally satisfied.
5 – 6	Partial satisfaction	Students' opinions are varied, and the performance is rated at an average level.
1 – 4	Low satisfaction	Students are generally dissatisfied.

📈 **Statistical Analysis of the Quality Indicators of Departmental Faculty Members**

Satisfaction Level	Interest Rate Range	Category	Sign
Totally agree	**95%-100%**	(Very High Satisfaction)	✅
Agree	**75%-94%**	(High and Moderate Satisfaction)	☑️
Partially agree	**50%-74%**	(Moderate Level of Satisfaction)	🔶
Disagree	**0%-49%**	(Low Level of Satisfaction)	❌

Instructor's Full Name	Number of Students Participating in the Survey (114 students)	Satisfaction level %	Low level of satisfaction (1 to 10 rating scale)	Analysis of Teacher Effectiveness Based on a Survey
Həsənov Xudayar	29	**39.2%**	**1 score**→16people **2 score**→1 person **3 score**→5 people **4 score**→1 person **5 score**→1 person	❌
Yəhyayev Aydın	10	**96%**	**6 score** → 1person	✅
Qədiyeva Ülkər	19	**94.2%**	▄	☑️

Name	Count	Percent	Scores	Status
Əmrahov Elşən	30	**94.3%**	**4 score**→5 people **5 score**→1 person **6 score**→1 person	☑
Piriyeva Məsumə	9	**93.3%**	**4 score**→1 person	☑
Şirəliyev Şahbaz	19	**97.2%**	-	✅
Məlikov Ədilağa	15	**80.7%**	**1 score**→3 people	☑
Aydəmirova Gövhər	4	**95%**	-	✅
Soltanov Elvin	8	**85.7%**	**1 score**→1 person **4 score**→1 person	☑
Abdullayeva Nərminə	10	**76.7%**	**1 score**→1 person **5 score**→1 person	☑
Mustafayev Arzu	15	**88%**	**5 score**→1 person	☑
Cavadova Leyla	15	**43.5%**	**1 score**→5people **3 score**→1 person **5 score**→1 person **6 score**→4 people	❌
Mikayılov Aruz	23	**95.6%**	-	✅
KərimovaCəfərova Ülviyyə	31	**81.9%**	**5 score**→1 person **6 score**→4 people	☑
Sadıqov Ramil	9	**94.4%**	-	☑
Fərzəliyeva Nərgiz	8	**70%**	**5 score**→1 person **6 score**→2 people	🔶

📝 Result:

According to the results of the conducted survey, the majority of teachers — 86% — rated the presented activity with a moderate level of satisfaction.

	Level of Satisfaction
■ Totally Agree	4
■ Agree	9
■ Partially Agree	1
■ Disagree	2

Level of Satisfaction

- Totally Agree: 25%
- Agree: 56%
- Partially agree: 6%
- Disagree: 13%